

15. Про Фонд державного майна України: Закон України від 9 грудня 2011 р. № 4107-VI / Верховна Рада України. URL: <http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/4107-17>.

16. Коваль І.Ф. Щодо правового статусу вищого суду з питань інтелектуальної власності. Теорія і практика інтелектуальної власності. 2016. № 5. С. 39–44; Петренко В.А., Левицький В.А. Удосконалення системи судового захисту прав інтелектуальної власності. Теорія і практика інтелектуальної власності. 2015. № 1. С. 64–70; Потоцький М.Ю., Захаренко М.В. Спеціалізований суд із розгляду справ у сфері інтелектуальної власності в Україні: помилка чи необхідність? Юридичний вісник. 2014. № 3. С. 201–204.

17. Бааджи Н.П. Проблемні аспекти судового захисту права інтелектуальної власності. Часопис цивілістики. 2014. Вип. 17. С. 50–55; Вацик Ю.М. Проблемні питання судового захисту прав інтелектуальної власності у цивільному судочинстві України. Міжнародний науковий журнал «Інтернаука». 2017. № 17(2). С. 75–77; Зайцева А.А. Проблеми визначення юрисдикції судів у сфері захисту прав інтелектуальної власності на знаки для товарів і послуг. Теорія і практика інтелектуальної власності. 2015. № 3. С. 28–35.

18. Про утворення Вищого суду з питань інтелектуальної власності: Указ Президента України від 29 вересня 2017 р. № 299/2017 / Президент України. URL: <http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/299/2017>.

19. Колеснікова М.В., Піддубна М.М. Приведення у виконання рішень міжнародного арбітражу у сфері інтелектуальної власності: досвід України та європейських країн. Правові горизонти. 2016. № 2. С. 158–162.

***Ternushchak M.M.,***

*Candidate of Juridical Sciences, Doctoral Student,  
State Research Institute of Ministry of International Affairs of Ukraine  
Kyiv*

## **PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN THE PARADIGM OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL CYCLE**

### ***Summary***

*The article examines a place, influence and significance of the category of «public administration» in the context of studying of juridical disciplines of administrative and legal cycle.*

*It determines a number of prerequisites for clarifying a legal nature, in particular, a subject of the legal regulation of administrative law and administrative procedure through the influence of the category «public administration». The first section includes the procedural changes in the framework of system update of regulatory and legal base, first of all legislative one, in relation to the functional introduction of new institutes of administrative and legal cycle (the institutes of «administrative justice», «administrative services») and implementation of the results*

*of performed administrative reform. The second section of preconditions includes theoretical and category changes in relation to the update of conceptual framework that are a logical result of the fundamental scientific achievements of the leading research-methodological legal administrative schools.*

*The author's definitions for «public administration» and «public management» are formulated as well as their correlation under the principle «from the general to the special» where public administration is the general concept and public administration is the special one.*

*It is established that the category «public interest» is a system and forming component and actually, the basic one in interpreting «public administration». On the one hand, it is considered as a quintessence for the continuous implementation of public international and constitutional rights, such as: the right to form a governance (electoral law), to public service, to social security, and on the other hand as the activities of public bodies in the area of observance of the principles of legality, the rule of law, publicity, inevitability of punishment.*

*The range of problems under consideration is evaluated in the articles published in the professional periodicals of Ukraine, in the papers of international and All-Ukrainian conferences as well as in academic programs of disciplines «administrative law», «administrative procedure».*

### **Introduction**

Now, theoretical and legal category of «public administration» is increasingly applied in academic and scientific disciplinary use of administrative-legal cycle that greatly modifies, typical for the second half of the twentieth century, the Soviet conceptual identification (association) of administrative legal disciplines with the category «governance».

At first glance, the relevant amendments, that is the change and update of the framework of categories and concepts of disciplines of administrative cycle in terms of the categories «state management» and «public administration», caused by banal borrowings of the foreign terminology. However, it is not quite so and partially corresponds to reality.

Taking into account the peculiarities of English translation, the terms «державне управління» and «публічне адміністрування» are translated as «public administration». However, knowing and referring to the specifics of English translation and the different uses of words, in accordance with a content and situation caused by the interpretation of the same terms, the appropriate translation will be implemented in different ways, for this reason «державне управління» also should be considered as «governance» or «state control» in English use. In this relation, English variants of the translation of «адміністративні органи» are the most controversial and ambiguous on application of related concepts-terms. In addition, from the position of English interpretation the term «адміністративні органи» can be rendered as «administrative bodies», «administrative authorities», «administrative agencies», «government», «state administrations». That is, in the English language, the same term depending on a situation and lexical meaning will be expressed

differently, that is, a number of one-type terms are used that is quite common and widely used, especially in legal and economic practices.

Therefore, it is clear that there is the foreign lexical influence on the transformation of governance into public administration in the case of a change of the obsolete categories base of administrative-legal cycle and in terms of the theoretical use of terminological legal vocabulary based on the foundations and principles of the concept of «governance» or «public administration». But, in our opinion, this influence should be considered as a groundless or secondary, in other words, as narrow one.

According to our opinion, one of the answers relatively establishment of the primary factor for substitution of the terms «governance» for «public administration», advantages of the application of «public administration» in the theoretical dimension of the sciences of legal and administrative direction, can be obtained if there is a particular definition of «public administration» established through analyzing specific characteristic features of this procedural activity, which, according to our position, is the most optimal approach.

### **Meaning of the category of «public administration»**

The analysis of foreign literature regarding the category of «public administration» actually testifies about the similarity of scientific positions regarding the content, purpose and classification approaches.

Thus, Singh H. and Sachdeva P. indicate that the term «administration» derives from Latin «administere», which means the care of people or affairs management. In opinion of scholars, administration can be defined as «group activities which include cooperation and coordination with the purpose to achieve desired goals or tasks».

In general, the term «administration» includes at least four different meaning depending on the context of use:

the first, as a discipline: the name of a field of study or discipline that was lectured in colleges and universities;

the second, as places, type of work (profession), especially it touches the process of knowledge obtaining and training, in fact human resources training;

the third, as a process, namely the total number of measures taken to implement public policy or policy for the provision of public services or goods;

the fourth, in the synonymous meaning of the categories «executive» or «government» [1].

In the general sense, the term «public administration» refers, above all, to the general management of society as a whole. Therefore, in the general sense, administration's activity is directed at shared benefit of the public through the implementation of public administration [2].

Other scholars, in particular Morenikeji A. and Oluwafemi J., consider public administration as the government activity on the formulation and adherence to state policy at the federal, state and local levels, as well as the implementation of executive and operational functions. At the same time, they emphasize the fact that public administration forms government activity for people. Due to the efficient and

effective public administration, the government provides high-quality administrative services for a citizen [3].

Marume S. B. M. says that public administration should be interpreted through the prism of two main approaches to the meaning of corresponding categories, in particular integrated one which is a comprehensive and covers all actions of the government and managerial approach, which will be more narrow in relation to the integrated and will provide management influence. According to this point of view, public administration covers all measures taken to achieve the goal of state power. In other words, public administration is the complex of managerial, technical, office and personnel activities [4].

In fact, Uchem R. O. and Erunke C. E support almost the same position regarding the separation of public administration interpretation in the integral and managerial approaches, but the scholars consider this category from the position of integral and managerial schools. In particular, they emphasize that public administration is a relatively broad and comprehensive area that does not point to the specific direction and scope of public administration. Public administration, at the moment, crosses the line of governmental activities and ruling circles including other spheres, namely church, market, postal services, transport and international relations, etc. However, for a more detailed understanding of the scope of public administration it is advisable to use the following scientific schools: integral and managerial.

The Integral School interprets public administration is a sum total of all the activities undertaken in fulfillment of public policy. These activities include not only managerial and technical functions but also clerical. The central argument of the integral scholars is that public administration is only concerned with all the activities of government undertaken by one or the entire three branch of government.

In its turn, the Managerial School sees public administration as an area that is specifically concerned with only those persons engaged in the performance of managerial functions in an organization. This implies that only those who plan, programme and manage the activities of an organization are the main focus of public administration. The functions of these people are numerous, and are geared towards the achievement of certain goals. For the first time, these functions were outlined in 1980 using the acronym POSDCORB, meaning: P – Planning, O – Organizing, S – Staffing, D – Directing, CO – Coordinating, R – Reporting B – Budgeting [5].

It also necessary to mention scientific achievements of Pommer E., Edwards G., Raadschelders Jos C. among the number of topical foreign and modern papers devoted to public administration [6; 7; 8].

In general, we think that public administering is the activities of bodies of public administration towards satisfaction of public needs (interest) of natural and legal persons [9, p. 7], and public administration is a complex of state and municipal bodies the activities of which should be aimed at meeting public interest [9, p. 7].

Therefore, in the context of relevant definitions and disclosure of the stated problem, we propose to pay a special attention to the term «public interest», which will act as a system-generating, primary characteristic of the category «public administration» in a particular situation. In our opinion, such a characteristic feature as publicity equally acts as a qualifying one both for «public administration» and

«governance» in terms of the legal nature of administrative legal relationship where an entity of authoritative power always acts as one of the parties (subjects) regardless of a situation and subject.

We support and share the position of R. Melnyk and S. S. Mosondz on the definition of «public interest» as a conscious interest of the whole society, which is a reflection of the economic, social and law-enforcement needs of the population [10, p. 18], and we propose to indicate that public interest in public administration, on the one hand, is the quintessence for an unhampered implementation of public international and constitutional rights such as follows: the right to form power (electoral law), to public service, to social security, and on the other hand is the activities of public bodies in observance of the principles of law, rule of law, transparency, inevitability of punishment, and others.

Consequently, the term «public interest» in the context of the category of «public administration» will obtain basic, as noted, system-forming content and will be a theoretical reflection of the ideal model of state functioning in terms of partner activities of public authorities and citizens, the relationships formed on trust and compliance with laws.

Moreover, public interest, in accordance with the modern theory of «anthropocentrism» in administrative study, is the purpose of the activity of public authority by its bodies. However, depending on a political, economic and social environment, the realization of public interest may not coincide with the democratic principles and foundations in full, above all it touches the application of public coercion, but within the statutory limits, in the case of emergency or other essential conditions (for example, in the context of anti-terrorist operation).

In support of this statement, in 1911, A. Yelistratov wrote that public interest would always be the subject-matter of the relations between a representative of state power and citizens. In this context, an outstanding scholar noted that the public interest takes government for common interest, this, in turn, depends on the level of population's culture. And what government considers as a public weal, in fact, can differ from the interests of a large part of the population. But, in any case, the demands of the ruling government are placed on citizens not as a private interest of individual representatives of power, but for the public good [11].

In turn, the category «state administration», which according to the Soviet legal tradition and heritage, closely correlates with state control over the activities of public bodies like administrative-team cooperation but in essence it is a strict control of one entity over the activities of other in the aspect of functioning of public power, is not relevant taking into account the ephemeral effectiveness of administrative-team system of public administration in comparison with the present not the best state of affairs.

In our opinion, advantages of the concept of «public administration» over the concept of «governance» in relation to the formation of terminology framework of administrative-legal cycle in the modern circumstances is obvious, and first of all it caused by the political-social European integration process, a course chosen by political officials and expected public desire for shifts and changes in fortunes.

Analysis of theoretical scientific basis which usually has solutions of the problem is no less important aspect in revealing the essence of any issue as well as in developing any subject-matter. Thus, other answer to the expediency of change of administrative terminological categories of «governance» into «public administration» we will get after the analysis of different opinions, subjective points, and ideas of leading scholars in administrative study.

In a textbook «Administrative Law» T. Hurzhii stresses that «administering» is activities of administration on adjustment of subjects' actions (bodies, units, enterprises, institutions, organizations) and, in addition, he divides this term into such subtypes (according to the criterion of interest implementation):

- public administering (implemented for the purpose of realizing public interests);
- private administering (implemented for the purpose of realizing private interests);
- corporate administering (implemented for the purpose of realizing corporate interests) [12, p. 13-14].

In our opinion, the author's (T. Hurzhii) approach to the definition of «administering» is more in line with the category of «management», as *activities on actions adjustment of subordinate subjects* will mean a certain imperative component specific to administrative-team principle, but not a dispositive component specific to partner relationship. Nobody denies that any activity, either in public bodies or at a private enterprise, based on subordination, for example following the conditional construction «authorities-apparatus-staff». At the same time, coercion application is not always a key for discipline and successive team cooperation, more often moral and finance encouragements and others serve as more effective mechanism. Moreover, in practice, the terms as «dependent» and «subordinate» are interpreted by employees in an ambivalent manner, and don't promote harmony keeping in team.

In turn, we completely share the position regarding the division of «administering» into public, private and corporate according to the criterion of interest implementation.

R. Melnyk in a textbook «General administrative law» concludes that «public management» is an activity connected with the internal organization of functioning (reorganization of divisions, transfer of civil servants, implementation of disciplinary liability) of public authorities, and «public administration» is a general concept which cover subjects the main task of which is enforcement of the Constitution and laws [13; 53-54].

We support the abovementioned definitions of «public management» and «public administration», and taking into account the definition of *public management as an internal organization of activity of public subjects* we see it expedient to correlate, partially, similar terms «public administration» and «public management» according to the principle «from the general to the special». In this term, «public administration» acts as the general, and «public management» acts as the special.

In our opinion, *public administration* is a general activity of public authority on satisfaction of public interest (it was mentioned above) regardless of a typology of administrative relations that consists a general subject of administrative law. And the

approach of R. Melnyk specifies *public management* as internal organizational activity of public authority that, in our opinion, provides practical realization of public relations subject of which may be:

the first, processes connected with the beginning, service career and discharge from public service;

the second, implementation of tasks and functions, which are established by staff arrangement of official powers, as well as tasks, duties, instructions of direct and senior leaders of a body where a person works.

There is a quite similar approach of Frederick C. Mosher, who in his own article on «Britannica» encyclopedia resource, says that in our time «public administration» should be compared with the responsibility for determining of policies and development government programs, namely, for planning activities, organization, management, coordination and control over national and government operations [14].

A non-standard but surprisingly relevant analysis of the category «public administration» which has been conducted by T. Kolomoiets, a well-known Ukrainian academic lawyer, sparks interest and draws attention. Thus, a textbook «Administrative law of Ukraine. Academic programme» considers the term «public administration» in the context of double meaning of its certain components. The first is «publicity» that is a set of features of procedural activity of administrative entities, such as: a common, accessible to all, serving all, combines state (national) and self-governing (territorial). The second is «administration» namely, indications of subordination to political power and service to the public interest [15, p. 167].

We believe that performed individual analysis of the components of public administration, and in fact the explanation of the terms of «publicity» and «administration» which was presented in terms of distinguishing typical, characteristic features of the terms, the key place among which, according to our belief, is hold by *community, accessibility, service to the public interest*, comprehensively emphasizes the purpose of public administration.

Domestic scholars D. Pryimachenko and R. Ihonin referring to I. Vasylenko, Russian scholar, consider public administration as coordinated group actions in state affairs:

firstly, which are connected with the three branches of power – legislative, executive, judicial and their interaction;

secondly, which are important for the formation of state policy and which are a part of the political process;

thirdly, which significantly differ from administration in the private sector;

fourthly, which are closely linked with numerous private groups and individuals working in different companies and communities [16, p. 338].

The corresponding position, in our opinion, is not quite clear and doesn't correlate to the majority of features of public administration.

If we can partially agree with the statement that *coordinated activity in state affairs* indirectly characterizes public administration, however the terms «coordination» and «state affairs» should be referred to a speciality of public management and sphere of social (political) sciences, so the first three features should not be linked to public administration. In the first and second cases, the

interconnection of branches of power and the formation of a state policy in the context of satisfaction of political interest is a subject-matter of state (constitutional) law. In the other two, in particular, the third feature indicates the difference in administration in the private and public sectors, which is obvious, but there is no specification for the essence of the relevant differences.

In respect to the provision of the fourth feature of «public administration» (from the point of view of I. Vasylenko, D. Prymachenko, R. Ihonin) it touches the fact that coordinated actions within state affairs are closely connected to private actors who work in different companies and communities, we see it actual and such that corresponds to the essence not only the category «public administration» but also to the categories «state management» and «public management».

### **Place and influence of public administration on the development of legal disciplines in administrative cycle**

Covered the core of the terminology structure of «public administration» in administrative science, it is necessary to clarify the category of «administrative-legal cycle», that is, the legal disciplines which, in full or indirect forms, reflect the content, features and essence of public administration in Ukraine in the prospect of a profound analysis of the characteristics of a relevant category and in the context of legal administrative disciplines,.

Thus, primarily, legal disciplines of administrative-legal cycle, which are taught in higher schools (institutions of 1-4 levels of accreditation), as well as of exclusively legal or specialized-legal aspect and broad-profile ones, should involve such classical disciplines as «administrative law» and «administrative procedure». However, taking into account the broad-spectrum updating of the legislative and sublegislative administrative framework, and in fact the categories transformation of the legal basis of the state and municipal sector activities, which is conditioned by a number of social upheavals in terms of change and turn of the political vector of the state development as a whole, there is also a practical need for a clear adjustment, systematization and amendments of educational discipline base, in our case it concerns the disciplines of administrative-legal cycle.

The current legislation, in a specific way, prompts and contributes to academic and research institutes and their structural units (institutions, faculties, departments) that they develop more new courses, including special courses of study, on administrative subject matter. This is especially topical when, as already mentioned, certain essential regulatory changes take place, which, in turn, act as a theoretical precondition that pushes domestic administrative scholars to develop and introduce a new categories base, as well as future newest institutions. Or on the contrary, scientific developments in administrative science can serve as a promotional mechanism for the domestic legislator on regulatory framework development.

For example, the pioneered institute of «administrative services» that was introduced to administrative law and obtained a final statutory-perfect form in 2012 (after approval of the Law of Ukraine «On Administrative Services»), and a certain structural-forming regulatory and theoretical outlines from the middle of the XXI century (2006) [17; 18].

Currently, the institution of administrative services, without exaggeration, is one of the key priorities of improving the activities of executive and municipal authorities. Specifically, the European model of public administration, which we have previously described as public administration in the context of the fact that the current political leadership of the state is gradually implementing the relevant practice in various spheres of public activity of the executive government and local self-government, is successful in nature, convenient for the public and business. In particular, this is a systematic formation of new centers for the provision of administrative services, the introduction of new IT-services on the electronic web-resources (websites, portals), and improvement of the procedure services provision through the elaboration of new electronic operating systems of document circulation based on the principle of «single window system» and others.

In its turn, regulatory and theoretical-categories changes [19] touched the institute of «civil and municipal service» which automatically evolved into the institute of «public service» after the availability a rule (para. 15 p. 1 Art. 3) in the Code of Administrative Procedure of Ukraine (hereinafter referred to as «the CAPU») according to which public service is activity performed in public political posts, professional activities of judges, public prosecutors, military service, alternative (non-military service), diplomatic service, other civil service, service in power structures of Autonomous Republic of Crimea, local self-government bodies.

Well, in essence, the main innovation of administrative-procedural science in the part of separation of the new institute of administrative law – administrative justice (legal proceedings) that was transformed at the scientific and theoretical level into a separate discipline «administrative legal proceeding», was the fact that in 2004 the Code of Administrative Procedure was adopted and came into force in 2005.

Moreover, depending on the methodological achievements of structural units of higher education institutions and scientific developments of some law schools, the legal relations of administrative justice are objects either of individual disciplines such as «administrative legal proceeding», «administrative procedure» or of a fundamental discipline of administrative-legal cycle «administrative law».

Currently, an active phase of the reform processes concerning the activities of public administration continues in Ukraine. In particular, the adoption of the Law «On Administrative Services» in 2012 was a significant event of legislative and statutory consolidation of the norms that would be applied to minimize the barrier of bureaucratic and corrupt character to receive services from the subjects of authoritative powers. It should be noted that the adoption of this Law has also substantially affected the change of the generally established theoretical positions of certain legal branches.

Therefore, the consequences of these legislative, scientific and academic modifications in the development of new institutes of administrative-legal cycle lead to the introduction on the new disciplines of administrative–legal and procedural focus, which were not taught separately, were introduced to domestic higher education institutions, in particular to their structural units. At the modern stage (the end of the first decade of the XXI century) such disciplines include courses as

follows: «administrative reform», «legal regulation of administrative services provision» and others;

before (in most cases from the beginning of 2005): «administrative legal proceedings», «administrative justice», «actual problems of administrative legal proceedings» and others;

in earlier times (the end of the XX – the beginning of XXI century): «administrative-tort law», «administrative responsibility» and others.

However, in our opinion, the influence of the category of public administration in relation to the disciplines of administrative-legal cycle is reflected to the outmost in the discipline «Legal regulation of public (state) management» the subject-matter of which is provisions of Ukrainian regulatory framework, academic theoretical provisions on administrative law and procedure, which present actual problems of realization of administrative and legal norms, the modern development of branches reform of administrative and legal cycle connected with the change of categories and concepts framework and refresh of administrative legislation. The issues and problems directly touching public administration are studied within a particular discipline, in particular the specific nature of beginning, career and termination of public service; peculiarities of provision of administrative services by executive and local self-government bodies; administrative reform in certain spheres of public management.

It's no good to skip the issue of administrative reform as now Ukraine begins to reform almost all spheres of public administration as well as to initiate reforming of justice system and related legal institutions. We note that a successful implementation of some reforms directly and indirectly depends on a complex approach to introduction of measures of legal and organizational nature, multiagency coordination of the branches of government, impartial public control and totally apolitical nature of the nation's leadership.

In general, administrative reform is a process of adoption of a relevant regulatory framework that will contribute to the formation of an institutional structure of public management which will completely meet public interest, in other words the priority of their activities will be devotion to people and the national interests of the country. In more narrow interpretation, administrative reform is a complex of measures aimed at updating administrative and legal status of reform's actor (administrative or other body) by virtue of optimization of servants' number, improvement of institutional coordination of teamwork of structural subdivisions at the central and regional levels, improvement of electronic, information and financial security of employees, simplification of the procedures for provision of administrative services etc.

At the moment, attention is drawn to the range of branching of areas and spheres of public administration where administrative reform is taking place. In particular, this is a reform of the activity arrangement of public administration bodies components of which include:

- administrative-territorial (decentralization).

In essence, the implementation of the relevant reform will be in the unification of the territorial communities of villages, settlements, and cities in accordance with the principles of polycentric nature, subsidiarity and the procedure prescribed in the

provisions of the Law «On Voluntary Association of Territorial Communities». A gradual unifying of territorial communities will not only contribute to optimization of the territorial organization of local authorities in relation to change of insufficiently capable administrative units by self-reliant ones, which will be able to ensure the expected, proper level of public administration in the field perfectly but also to increase financial income in local budgets. Moreover, the next stage of decentralization should be the process of reorganization of local state administrations and the introduction of prefecture institute with control powers over the observance of the Constitution and laws of Ukraine by local self-government bodies, and the coordination of the activities of territorial bodies of central executive authorities.

– provision of administrative services reform.

The reform is related to the procedural improvement of the provision of administrative services, which was launched in 2012 since the adoption of the Law «On Administrative Services», is actively implemented and practiced in almost all territorial executive authorities and local self-government bodies. Usually, the centers of administrative services, activities of which are divided according to the principles of «front and back office» and «single window» function as separate units or official places in the abovementioned bodies.

– reform of the improvement of administrative and legal support, such as legal, personnel, financial, information, logistical, for the activities of administrative bodies.

In turn, from the beginning of 2013, other public reforms have been implemented in Ukraine, in particular, the reform of judicial system and related legal institutes (advocacy, public prosecution, and penalties system); reform of the system of prevention and counteraction of corruption (anti-corruption); reform of the law enforcement system.

In addition, up to date, taking into account the focuses of administrative reform that have been conducted and are being implemented, the main stage of administrative reform should include:

1. Reform of the institute of administrative justice (2004, Adoption of the CAPU).

2. Establishment of a new institute of administrative law – the institute of administrative services (2011, adoption of the Law «On Administrative Services»).

4. Reform of the institute of public service (2016, adoption of a new Law «On Civil Service»).

5. Reform of the judicial system and related legal institutes (2016, amendment of the Constitution of Ukraine (in relation to justice system)).

6. Administrative-territorial reform (decentralization in the part of voluntary association of territorial communities (2015, the Law «On Voluntary Association of Territorial Communities»)).

### **Conclusions.**

From the middle of the XXI century, the category of «public administration» is actively used by administrative scholars (Kolomoiets T., Kolpakov V., Kuzmenko O.,

Melnyk R., Pryimachenko D.) in connection with the reinterpretation of the doctrinal concepts of the development of administrative-law school (from the theory of «management» to «anthropocentrism»), borrowing of foreign academic and research methodology, in terms of «administrative law» studying, the implementation of best practices of the regulatory provisions of the European and American laws in the domestic legislation and a number of other political and socio-economic factors related to European integration processes.

Now, the need to implement a theoretical category «state management» in the context, above all, of administrative law almost disappears and has lost its sense due to longstanding transformation of categories and concepts framework in relation to the substitution of the category by «administrative administration» and «public management».

Public administration is the activities of public administration bodies in meeting public needs (interests) of individuals and legal entities in various spheres of public administration.

The system-forming component, in fact basic one in interpreting «public administration», will be «public interest», which on the one hand will be considered as the quintessence for the continuous implementation of public international and constitutional rights, such as: the right to form a governance (electoral law), to public service, to social security, and on the other hand as the activities of public bodies in the area of observance of the principles of legality, the rule of law, publicity, inevitability of punishment.

Public administration is, in general, an internal organizational activity of public entities, and in detail it is processes connected with entry, career and termination of public service; performance of the tasks and functions determined by staff arrangement of the official powers of the body where a person works, as well as tasks, orders, instructions of direct and senior leaders; organizational and legal support of functioning of a public body (statutory, personnel, financial, logistic).

The correlation of the categories «public administration» in relation to «public management» meets the principle «from the general to the special» where public administration is the general concept and public administration is the special one.

An obvious influence of the concept «public administration» on the development of legal disciplines of administrative and legal cycle is due to:

- normative changes in the context of the system updating of the regulatory framework, especially of the legislative framework, when it touches the functional introduction of new institutes of administrative legal cycle (institutes of «administrative justice (the CAPU, 2005)», «administrative services (Law of Ukraine «On Administrative Services,));

- theoretical and categories changes in the part of the concepts updating and the thematic content of the disciplines of administrative-legal cycle, in particular, of the legal regulation of state administration, act as the logical accomplishment (result) of the fundamental scientific developments by the leading research and methodological legal administrative schools.

## References:

1. Singh H., Sachdeva P. Public Administration: Theory and Practice. Dorling Kindersley. 2012. P. 1–25. URL: <http://egyankosh.ac.in/bitstream/123456789/25454/1/Unit-1.pdf>.
2. Public Administration: Definition, Nature and Dimension (article). URL: <http://www.yourarticlelibrary.com/public-administration/public-administration-definition-nature-and-dimension/63417>.
3. Morenikeji A., Oluwafemi J. Towards A Theoretical Definition of Public Administration. Journal of Business and Management. 2014. № 3(16). P. 65–70. URL: <file:///C:/Users/User/Downloads/TowardsATheoreticalDefinitionofPublicAdministration-OLLAJohnOluwafemi.pdf>.
4. Morenikeji A., Oluwafemi J. Towards A Theoretical Definition of Public Administration. Journal of Business and Management. 2014. № 3(16). P. 65–70. URL: <file:///C:/Users/User/Downloads/TowardsATheoreticalDefinitionofPublicAdministration-OLLAJohnOluwafemi.pdf>.
5. Uchem R.O., Erunke C.E. Nature and scope of public administration. International Journal of Development and Sustainability. 2013. № 1. P. 177–182. URL: <https://isdsnet.com/ijds-v2n1-13.pdf>.
6. Pommer E. Public administration in Europe. Zarządzanie Publiczne. 2016. № 2(36). P. 34–52. URL: <file:///C:/Users/User/Downloads/zp36-2.pdf>.
7. Introduction to public administration / ed. by G. Edwards. URL: <https://ebooks.wtbooks.com/static/wtbooks/ebooks/9781978926196/9781978926196.pdf>.
8. Raadschelders Jos C.N. Government: A Public Administration Perspective. 2nd ed. URL: <https://books.google.com.ua/books?id=zffqBgAAQBAJ&pg=PA46&lpg=PA46&dq=public+administration+definition&source=bl&ots=DBmq501sHb&sig=P-b5sMZ1IWP3xZggtteuI2j6fi84&hl=uk&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwi7mrrNxtjbAhUpM5oKHcBTAs04ZBDoAQguMAE#v=onepage&q=public%20administration%20&f=false>.
9. Тернушак М.М. Курс лекцій з адміністративного права (загальна частина): тезові формулювання. Ужгород: Гражда, 2016. 48 с.
10. Адміністративне право України (у схемах та коментарях): навч. посібник / Р.С. Мельник, С.О. Мосьондз; за ред. Р.С. Мельника. К.: Юрінком Інтер, 2015. 344 с.
11. Елистратов А.И. Административное право. М.: Тип. И.Д. Сытина, 1911. 89 с.
12. Гуржій Т.О. Адміністративне право України: навч. посібник. К.: КНТ; Х.: Бурун і К, 2013. 680 с.
13. Загальне адміністративне право: підручник / І.С. Гриценко, Р.С. Мельник, А.А. Пухтецька та ін.; за заг. ред. І.С. Гриценка. К.: Юрінком Інтер, 2015. 568 с.
14. Mosher F.C. Public administration. URL: <http://www.britannica.com/topic/public-administration>.
15. Коломоєць Т.О. Адміністративне право України. Академічний курс: підручник. К.: Юрінком Інтер, 2011. 576 с.

16. Адміністративне право України: словник термінів / за заг. ред. Т.О. Коломоєць, В.К. Колпакова. К.: Ін Юре, 2014. 520 с.

17. Про схвалення Концепції розвитку системи надання адміністративних послуг органами виконавчої влади: Розпорядження Кабінету Міністрів України від 15 лютого 2006 р. № 90-р (зі змінами та доповненнями) / Кабінет Міністрів України. URL: <http://zakon4.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/90-2006-p>.

18. Писаренко Г.М. Адміністративні послуги в Україні: організаційно-правові аспекти: автореф. дис. ... канд. юрид. наук: 12.00.07 «Адміністративне право і процес; фінансове право; інформаційне право». О., 2006. 19 с.

19. Тернушак М.М. Законодавчі зміни у частині тлумачення терміну «державна служба»: порівняльний аналіз положень законів Про державну службу у редакції 1993 та 2015 рр. Актуальні питання реалізації нового Закону України «Про державну службу»: Всеукраїнський форум вчених адміністративістів (Запоріжжя, 21 квітня 2016 р.) / Запорізький національний університет, Національний юридичний університет імені Ярослава Мудрого, Київський національний університет імені Тараса Шевченка, Вищий адміністративний суд України, ГО «Асоціація фахівців адміністративного права», Запорізька обласна державна адміністрація, Запорізька обласна рада, Запорізький центр перепідготовки і підвищення кваліфікації працівників органів державної влади, органів місцевого самоврядування, державних підприємств, установ та організацій. Запоріжжя: ЗНУ, 2016. С. 109–112.

***Shulzhenko O.V.,***

*Postgraduate Student at Department of Administrative Law  
and Administrative Procedure*

*Odessa State University of Internal Affairs*

***Rudoï K.M.,***

*Candidate of Juridical Sciences, Associate Professor,*

*Professor at Department of Administrative Law and Administrative Procedure*

*Odessa State University of Internal Affairs*

*Odesa*

## **GENERAL PROVISIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS IN UKRAINE**

### ***Summary***

*During the administrative-legal reform, the adaptation of the administrative legislation of Ukraine to the legislation of the European Union, significant changes in the organizational and legal basis of the administrative legal process of Ukraine are taking place. First of all, statutes of Ukrainian legislation, which establish the principles of administrative legal proceedings of Ukraine, are being improved; the*