

DOI <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-459-7-112>

**STRATEGIC DIFFERENTIATION
AND THE FOURFOLD COLLABORATION:
DRIVING INNOVATION AND HOLISTIC ADVANCEMENT**

Viktoria Riiascschenko^{1*}, Jūlija Mironova², Valentina Djakona³

^{1,2,3}*ISMA University of Applied Sciences,*

Lomonosova street 1-6, Riga, Latvia

**Corresponding author's e-mail: viktoria.riashchenko@mail.com*

Received 1 March 20124, www.isma.lv

Abstract

This scholarly work delves into the intricate interplay between strategic differentiation, knowledge exchange, and sustainable progress, highlighting the role of the fourfold collaboration model in stimulating innovation and societal advancement. Anchored in the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the European Union's Research and Innovation Strategies for Strategic Specialization (RIS3), the research underscores the pivotal significance of knowledge-centric assets and regional cooperation in achieving sustainability. It presents a comprehensive framework comprising six crucial stages for formulating strategic differentiation strategies, showcasing exemplary practices from Nordic regions. Additionally, the paper emphasizes the impact of civil society, culture, media, and small to medium-sized enterprises within the fourfold collaboration model, underscoring their importance in innovation processes. The study also evaluates the equilibrium among government, business, science, and society as a critical factor in shaping effective innovation ecosystems. In conclusion, the research advocates for balanced relationships and policy adaptations to optimize the potential of strategic differentiation and measure its influence on holistic economic growth.

Key words: Strategic differentiation, Sustainable progress, Knowledge exchange, Fourfold collaboration, Innovation, Holistic advancement, Regional cooperation, Knowledge-centric assets, Inclusive development, Economic advancement.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary landscape, sustainability has emerged as a universal imperative, shaping the trajectory of economic, social, and environmental

development. The adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, along with the European Union's Research and Innovation Strategies for Smart Specialization (RIS3), has propelled the discourse on intelligent, sustainable, and inclusive growth. This introduction sets the stage for three comprehensive studies that explore the critical intersections of strategic differentiation, innovative specialization, and the equilibrium among government, business, science, and society.

Sustainability has become a universal imperative for all legitimate organizations since the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 at the United Nations General Assembly (United Nations, 2015). SDG 17, which focuses on partnerships, underscores the significance of knowledge-sharing among community members as a crucial element for fostering collaboration and generating fresh solutions to address societal challenges (Cummings, 2004). To achieve sustainability in local contexts, organizations must place greater emphasis on harnessing knowledge-based resources that are both within and beyond their immediate environment, leveraging social and community networks to meet the needs of pertinent members (Cross & Cummings, 2004; Roman, M., Varga, H., Cvijanovic, V., & Reid A., 2020).

Globalization has also reshaped the roles of knowledge and innovation in the sustainable development of any economy (Galvao et al., 2019). A multitude of recent studies have elucidated the pivotal role of knowledge-sharing in bolstering sustainability by cultivating innovation systems within regional contexts, with stakeholders playing a pivotal role in this endeavor (Gouvea et al., 2013; Hasche et al., 2020; Roman et al., 2020; Yun & Liu, 2019). Additionally, the geographical proximity factor should not be overlooked in the knowledge-sharing process, as transferring knowledge between key actors in innovation processes tends to be challenging when it occurs beyond regional or territorial boundaries.

The sole path to ensuring economic growth that is intelligent, sustainable, and inclusive lies in adopting "smart specialization" as a pivotal component of locally-oriented innovation policies. This article investigates the connection between knowledge generation, innovation endeavors, and the heightened competitiveness of regions and nations through the application of smart specialization. It outlines six essential steps that every country or region should undertake to craft a smart specialization strategy rooted in the fundamental principles articulated in the EU's Research and Innovation Strategies for Smart Specialization (RIS3).

These steps are elucidated using exemplary practices from Nordic countries. Special emphasis is placed on the utilization of the quadruple

helix concept within the context of RIS3. This concept expands upon the well-known triple helix paradigm by highlighting the role of society, in addition to science, industry, and government, in the innovation process. Society often acts as the ultimate consumer of innovation, exerting a significant influence on the creation of knowledge and technology through its demands and realization of user needs. The "four-link" model proves to be well-suited for the development of "smart specialization" strategies, even though this approach demands greater effort. Realizing the potential of this initiative and showcasing its benefits necessitates a corresponding revision of policies. Moreover, there is a growing demand for methodologies to quantify the effects of smart specialization.

From the perspective of foreign researchers such as S. Gackstatter, M. Kotsemir, and D. Meissner, society's role is reflected in the establishment and activities of public organizations, including political parties, unions, and associations, as well as the media (). According to G. Kleiner and D. Petrosyan, society's functioning encompasses the actions of individuals in shaping positions on issues affecting the nation or specific regions. Some scholars, like Y. Karayannis, D. Bart, and D. Campbell, within the framework of the Fourth Spiral concept, consider the impact of innovation on civil society through media and culture, where culture comprises values and traditions, and mass media includes television, the internet, newspapers, news outlets, social networks, and communication platforms (Gackstatter S., Kotsemir M., 2014; Klejner G., Petrosyan D., 2005; Carayannis G., Barth D., Campbell D., 2012).

Similarly, according to these authors, the institutional aspect of the quadruple helix is closely intertwined with the development of small and medium-sized businesses, which play a vital role in realizing society's creative potential. Crucial institutions include legal norms that promote the emergence and growth of new inter-firm innovation-oriented relationships, particularly effective anti-monopoly legislation. The development of small and medium-sized businesses is essential not only for creating innovation infrastructure but also for increasing the demand for highly skilled professionals across all sectors of the economy, thus expediting the development of human capital within the innovation-driven economic system.

Let's explore the interaction among government, business, science, and society within a country's economic framework in the context of structural changes. The effectiveness of the economy hinges on the interplay between these four elements, each possessing its own internal dynamics. However, successful socio-economic development can only occur when these forces

achieve a certain equilibrium, fostering progressive structural transformations. If one element dominates the others, it results in a distorted social structure that hampers effectiveness. When the state dominates and controls production, suppressing societal initiatives, totalitarianism emerges. When society takes the upper hand, authorities appear weak, and economic activity dwindles, leading to anarchy. In situations where economic interests prevail, and societal structures are weak or fragmented, and the state lacks authority, an "economy of individuals" or disorganization takes root. In such a society, competition among individuals prevails, and heads of firms prioritize their personal gain over enhancing production processes or developing new products.

Within the framework of the innovation process, it is imperative to maintain a balanced relationship among the state, business, and society. An unbalanced relationship impedes the innovation process. Under totalitarianism, innovation activities face challenges because the state dictates production parameters, leaving enterprises with limited opportunities to explore new product ideas. Anarchy is similarly detrimental to innovation, as it hinders production altogether. According to P. Windrum and M. Tomlinson, in an "economy of individuals," innovation also falters because managers prioritize personal profit over improving production processes or innovating new products (Windrum P., Tomlinson M., 1999).

Conclusions

In an era where sustainability has become a universal imperative, the concept of smart specialization emerges as a key driver of intelligent, sustainable, and inclusive economic growth. This article has elucidated the critical nexus between smart specialization, knowledge sharing, and sustainable development, emphasizing the pivotal role of the quadruple helix model in shaping innovation ecosystems.

In conclusion, the integration of smart specialization principles, knowledge sharing, and the quadruple helix model represents a promising path towards sustainable economic growth that benefits all stakeholders. However, realizing this potential necessitates policy revisions and the development of methodologies to quantify the impact of smart specialization, ultimately ensuring that innovation drives inclusive and sustainable development in the modern world.

References

1. Carayannis G., Barth D., Campbell D. The Quintuple Helix innovation model: global warming as challenge and driven for innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. 2012. Vol. 1:2. Pp. 53–72.

2. Cummings, J. N. (2004). Work groups, structural diversity, and knowledge sharing in a global organization. *Management Science*, 50(3), 352–364. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1030.0134> [Crossref] [Web of Science®], [Google Scholar]

3. Gackstatter S., Kotsemir M., Meissner D. Building an Innovation-Driven Economy – The Case of BRIC and GCC Countries. *Foresight*. 2014. Vol. 16. No. 4. Pp. 293–308.

4. Galvao, A., Mascarenhas, C., Marques, C., Ferreira, J., & Ratten, V. (2019). Triple helix and its evolution: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 10(3), 812–833. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-10-2018-0103> [Crossref] [Web of Science®], [Google Scholar]

5. Gouvea, R., Kassiech, S., & Montoya, M. J. R. (2013). Using the quadruple helix to design strategies for the green economy. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, 80(2), 221–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2012.05.003> [Crossref] [Web of Science®], [Google Scholar]

6. Hasche, N., Hoglund, L., & Linton, G. (2020). Quadruple helix as a network of relationships: Creating value within a Swedish regional innovation system. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 32(6), 523–544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2019.1643134> [Taylor & Francis Online], [Google Scholar]

7. Hoglund, L., & Linton, G. (2018). Smart specialization in regional innovation systems: A QH perspective. *R&D Management*, 48(1), 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12306> [Crossref] [Web of Science®], [Google Scholar]

8. Ivanov N. Social'nij kontekst innovacionnogo razvitiya [The social context of innovation development]. *Mirovaya ekonomika i mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya = World economy and international relations*. 2013. Vol. 5. Pp. 17–30.

9. Klejner G., Petrosyan D. Vzaimodejstvie gosudarstva i obshchestva pri formirovanii ekonomicheskoy politiki [Interaction of the state and society in the formation of economic policy]. *Obshchestvo i ekonomika = Society and Economy*. 2005. Vol. 4. Pp. 48–69.

10. Roman, M., Varga, H., Cvijanovic, V., & Reid, A. (2020). Quadruple helix models for sustainable regional innovation: Engaging and facilitating civil society participation. *Economies*. 8(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/>

10.3390/economies8020048 [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]

11. Sampaolo, G., Lepore, D., & Spigarelli, F. (2021). Blue economy and the quadruple helix model: The case of Qingdao. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 23(11), 16803–16818. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01378-0> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]

12. United Nations. (2015). *Transforming Our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. [Google Scholar]

13. Windrum P., Tomlinson M. Knowledge -Intensive Services and International Competitiveness: A Four Country Comparison. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*. 1999. Vol. 11. No. 3. Pp. 391–408.

14. Yun, J. J., & Liu, Z. (2019). Micro- and macro-dynamics of open innovation with a quadruple-helix model. *Sustainability*. 11(12), 3301. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123301> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]