

3. Johnson R. Enhancing Experience Sharing through Online Forums in Aviation English Training Programs. *International Journal of Aviation Education*. 2020. № 14(2). P. 89–102.

4. Kim S., Elder C. Interactions in online aviation English learning environments. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*. 2015. № 11(2). P. 205–221.

5. Lehtonen M., Karvonen E. Pilots' use of online forums for professional development. *Journal of Aviation Studies*, 9(3). 2014. P. 45–62. <https://aviationstudies.org>

6. Molesworth B. R. C., Estival D. Miscommunication in general aviation: The influence of external factors on communication errors. *Safety Science*. 2015. № 73. P. 73–79.

7. Smith J. The Impact of Online Forums on Aviation English Communication. *Journal of Aviation Communication*. 2018. № 22(3). P. 45–58.

DOI <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-485-6-43>

## ETHICAL AND LINGUISTIC CHALLENGES IN GLOBAL BUSINESS COMMUNICATION

### ЕТИЧНІ ТА ЛІНГВІСТИЧНІ ВИКЛИКИ В ГЛОБАЛЬНІЙ БІЗНЕС-КОМУНІКАЦІЇ

**Ishchuk A. A.**

*Candidate of Philosophical Sciences,  
Associate Professor,  
Associate Professor at the Department  
of the Germanic Languages  
Dragomanov Ukrainian State University  
Kyiv, Ukraine*

**Іщук А. А.**

*кандидат філософських наук, доцент,  
доцент кафедри германських мов  
Український державний університет  
імені Михайла Драгоманова  
м. Київ, Україна*

The growing interconnectedness fostered by globalization has fundamentally transformed the realm of business communication, with language serving as a key facilitator of this change. As organizations venture into diverse cultural environments, the necessity for a universal means of communication has elevated English to a prominent position, especially in its specialized form known as Business English. This tailored variant addresses the specific needs and complexities of cross-cultural business interactions, enhancing clarity and understanding in a global marketplace. The growing diversity in business interactions presents challenges, as General English often falls short in meeting the intricate demands

of international business environments. Therefore, the problem arises: how can businesses communicate effectively across cultures while maintaining ethical standards and addressing cognitive and cultural variations? Business English comes as a response to the growing complexities of cross-cultural business communication [5]. Linguistic and cognitive aspects help to understand how language shapes interactions in an increasingly globalized world. Moreover, examining the ethical dimensions of business communication is essential, as it highlights the importance of shared understanding and cultural sensitivity in supporting sustainable development through intercultural collaboration. This research seeks to explore the dynamics of cross-cultural business communication by analyzing both linguistic and cognitive factors, with particular attention to how these aspects influence interactions in the global business landscape. By analyzing cultural variations in communication practices, the study highlights the critical role language plays in fostering successful cross-cultural exchanges.

Business professionals, often navigating diverse cultural landscapes, must be attuned to these linguistic differences to avoid misunderstandings and build effective relationships. Ethical considerations in communication also come to the forefront, as businesses increasingly recognize the importance of shared understanding and integrity in interactions. In this globalized environment, understanding the multifaceted aspects of communication, from interpersonal to mass exchanges, becomes essential for achieving business success [3]. By bridging the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical applications, the study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of how communication shapes the modern business landscape.

Effective ethical communication extends beyond simple linguistic exchanges and delves into the cultural and cognitive dimensions that shape individuals' values and behaviors. In the realm of cross-cultural communication, ethical discourse is heavily influenced by the interplay of diverse cognitive frameworks and cultural perceptions [4]. For instance, differences in communication styles – whether outgoing and informal or private and reserved – directly impact how people engage in discussions about ethics, shaping both the transmission and reception of messages. Moreover, the varying work ethics across cultures, such as the 'live to work' versus 'work to live' mindset, further illustrate the complexities in ethical decision-making. These cultural disparities not only influence individual and collective priorities but also affect how ethical considerations are framed in professional contexts [2]. A deep understanding of these cultural variations is crucial for developing ethical communication strategies that resonate across different contexts and foster mutual understanding [1].

Furthermore, ethical communication in business requires recognizing the cognitive dimensions that underlie cross-cultural interactions. Different cognitive frames, shaped by dominant cultural ideologies, influence how people perceive rationality, inquiry, and moral judgments. In Western cultures, especially in Anglo-American contexts, individualism, autonomy, and critical inquiry are often emphasized, whereas other cultures may place greater value on collectivism, harmony, and consensus. These cognitive and cultural differences shape how individuals engage with ethical concepts such as rights, duties, and moral principles. As a result, a flexible approach to ethical communication is required, one that takes into account and respects these diverse perspectives. Achieving cultural synergy – where varying ethical viewpoints coexist and enhance each other – is critical for enriching ethical discussions in the international business arena.

Given the globalized nature of business today, ethical communication must address the intricate interplay between cultural and cognitive factors. Developing ethical frameworks that embrace multiple perspectives ensures communication that is not only effective but also respectful of varying cultural values and practices. The integration of professional ethics codes, combined with a commitment to ongoing cross-cultural education, is vital for guiding ethical behavior across different contexts. By cultivating a shared ethical language that transcends cultural differences, business professionals can navigate moral challenges with greater clarity and sensitivity. Ultimately, ethical communication seeks to create an environment where universally upheld ethical principles coexist with cultural specificity and cognitive diversity in their interpretation and application.

### **Bibliography:**

1. Aleksandrova O., Kolinko M., Ishchuk A., Kozlovets M., Petryshyn H., Hotsalyuk A., Taran G. Understanding Intercultural Communication as a Condition for Sustainable Development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 2024. Vol. 13. № 2. P. 261–277. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2024.v13n2p261>
2. Hall E. T. *Beyond Culture*. New York : Doubleday, 1981. URL: [https://monoskop.org/images/6/60/Hall\\_Edward\\_T\\_Beyond\\_Culture.pdf](https://monoskop.org/images/6/60/Hall_Edward_T_Beyond_Culture.pdf) (access date: 24.09.2024).
3. Ishchuk A. A., Ishchuk O. M. Communicative features of the information society. *Філологія початку XXI сторіччя: традиції та новаторство* : матеріали Міжнародної науково-практичної конференції (Київ, 30 вересня – 1 жовтня 2022 р. Львів, 2022. С. 120–124. <https://doi.org/10.36059/978-966-397-263-3/30>

4. Ishchuk A. A., Ishchuk, O. M. Cross-Cultural Business Communication: A Linguistic and Cognitive Perspective. *Scientific Journal of National Pedagogical Dragomanov University. Series 9. Current Trends in Language Development*, 2023. № 26. P. 32–41. <https://doi.org/10.31392/npu-nc.series9.2023.26.04>

5. Ishchuk A., Kernozhytska O., Tretiak L. Navigating ethical challenges in business communication. *Актуальні питання гуманітарних наук*, 2024. Вип. 72. Т. 2. С. 169–175. <https://doi.org/10.24919/2308-4863/72-2-25>