

DOI <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-516-7-12>

WAR DOCUMENTARY PHOTOGRAPHY: PERSPECTIVES, IMPACT, AND SYMBOLISM

Chorna O. Ye.

*Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor,
Master's student at the Film and Television Art Department
Kyiv University of Culture,
Head of the Communications Department
23rd Separate Mechanized Brigade of the Armed Forces of Ukraine
Kyiv, Ukraine*

The advent of photography and videography has profoundly transformed public perception of military operations by enabling their direct documentation. Unlike paintings, literary works, or oral narratives, which often lacked the capacity to convey the visceral horrors, grime, and anguish of warfare, photographic imagery provides an unfiltered representation of conflict. Through journalistic photographs, diverse segments of society have gained unprecedented access to the multifaceted realities of war, offering insights that starkly contrast with the limited perspectives available to civilian audiences prior to the invention of photography.

The study of war documentary photography remains highly relevant in the contemporary context, as it not only bridges the gap between the battlefield and the civilian experience but also serves as a critical tool for shaping public opinion and historical memory. Scholars and practitioners in this field, including J. Struk [5], A. Kholyavka [1], M. O'Hearn [4], M. Honcharenko [3], Yu. Harmash [2], N. Vdovychenko [2], and I. Havran [3], have extensively explored its role in communicating the complexities of warfare and its ethical implications in modern society.

M. O'Hearn explores the early stages of military photography, beginning with the Mexican-American War in 1847, when an unknown American photographer produced daguerreotypes depicting portraits, landscapes, and burial scenes rather than combat. These images, shaped by the era's technical and moral constraints, offered a glimpse into wartime life without showing violence or death. O'Hearn also highlights the Crimean War (1854–1856) as a turning point, where British photographer Roger Fenton focused on staged scenes of soldiers in non-combat settings, avoiding the harsh realities of war. His work, including the iconic but staged *The Valley of the Shadow of Death*, exemplified the propagandistic use of photography to present a positive image of conflict [4].

The American Civil War (1861–1865) marked a turning point in war photography, as technological advancements allowed for more accurate depictions of wartime realities, including death and destruction. While early photographers, such as those during the Crimean War, often avoided graphic imagery, Civil War photos began to confront viewers with the harsh truths of conflict. Exhibitions like Matthew Brady's *The Dead of Antietam* shocked the public by bringing the grim realities of war into stark focus, symbolizing the cost of human life and the futility of violence [7]. These images, often reproduced through wood engravings due to technical limitations, carried a dual symbolism: both as tools of documentation and as stark reminders of war's brutality.

The late 19th century saw the democratization of photography with Kodak cameras, enabling soldiers and civilians to capture personal, unfiltered wartime experiences, often assembled into private albums. These personal records shifted the focus from propaganda to individual narratives, revealing everyday life and emotions behind the frontlines, such as in the photo album of Red Cross nurse Ethel Anderson [1].

In comparison, modern war photography combines symbolic and documentary roles, enhanced by digital technologies that allow for immediate and global dissemination. Contemporary images often emphasize the human cost of war, drawing attention to civilian suffering and destruction while serving as powerful tools in shaping public opinion and historical memory. Unlike historical photographs, which were constrained by technical and logistical limits, today's images achieve unparalleled immediacy and detail, yet their symbolic impact remains rooted in the same universal themes of loss, resilience, and the human condition.

As A. Kholyavka notes, war photography has often served as a tool of propaganda. For instance, the works of Heinrich Hoffmann, Adolf Hitler's official photographer and close associate, were meticulously crafted to advance Nazi propaganda. His photographs, published in the press and compiled into albums, portrayed the strength and power of the German military, the grandeur of Hitler, and the destruction of German infrastructure by Allied forces [1].

Additionally, as identified in J. Struk's research, war photographs can serve as evidence of war crimes and be used in legal proceedings across various jurisdictions. These include harrowing images of famine victims during the Holodomor in Ukraine, photos of torture and executions in Nazi concentration camps taken by the guards themselves, and the infamous photographs documenting the abuse of Iraqi prisoners in 2004 [5].

The dual role of war photography – as a means of propaganda and as evidence – highlights its ethical and moral complexity. While propagandistic images are carefully staged to manipulate perception and promote specific

narratives, documentary photographs expose uncomfortable truths, often at great personal risk to the photographers. Both forms of photography reveal the profound influence of visual media in shaping collective memory and understanding of war.

In modern contexts, these roles have evolved but remain equally significant. Today's war photographers navigate a digital landscape where their images can instantaneously reach global audiences, influencing public opinion and political discourse. The ethical challenges persist, as the line between authentic documentation and propagandistic manipulation becomes increasingly blurred in the era of social media and information warfare.

Wars, armed conflicts, civil unrest, and revolutions have consistently dominated the headlines of news outlets, as they directly impact the present and future of vast populations, altering national borders, economic conditions, and prospects. The works of renowned documentary photographers such as Robert Capa, W. Eugene Smith, Larry Burrows, Eddie Adams, Nick Ut, and many others are widely recognized across the globe. Their photographs not only serve as powerful testimonies to the brutality of violent events but also reshaped history by revealing the cruelty and injustice of war [6]. Documentary war photography holds a pivotal place in publications covering world-changing events, standing alongside milestones like space exploration, the invention of computers and the internet, and the shifts in power and regimes in the world's largest nations.

In conclusion, it is important to emphasize that photography in the context of war serves not only as a means of preserving memory but also as a powerful instrument of propaganda and psychological influence, utilized to shape public sentiment and convey ideological messages. War photographs, with their ability to evoke profound emotional responses, can be employed to inspire patriotism by highlighting the strength and bravery of military forces or, conversely, to underscore the devastating consequences of conflict, fostering anti-war sentiments. The analysis of authorship, the intent behind their creation, and the methods of dissemination is particularly significant, as such images play a pivotal role in shaping public opinion within the framework of information warfare. In the modern era, advancements in digital technologies and platforms have amplified the impact of war photography, enabling the rapid dissemination of imagery to a global audience and facilitating an immediate response to unfolding events.

Bibliography:

1. Холявка А. Фотографії з війни – розвага, доказ, джерело. URL: <https://kunsht.com.ua/articles/fotografii-z-vijni-rozvaga-dokaz-dzherelo> (дата звернення: 24.10.2024).
2. Вдовиченко Н., Джишиашвілі О., Гармаш Ю. Українська художня фотографія: етапи становлення та мистецьки засади розвитку. Київ : Видав. центр КНУКіМ, 2017. 170 с.
3. Goncharenko M., Priadko O., Gavran I., Kot H. Historical retrospective on the formation of a cameraman profession in Ukraine. *Asia Life Sciences*, 2020. P. 711–724.
4. O’Hearn M. Seeing is believing: early war photography. URL: <https://about.jstor.org/blog/seeing-is-believing-early-war-photography/> (date of access: 24.10.2024).
5. Struk J. *Private Pictures: Soldiers' Inside View of War*. London, New York, 2011. 230 p.
6. *The 100 Photographs that Changed the World* / ed. Valeria Manfredi de Fabianis. Milan: White Star Publisher, 2020. 224 p.
7. Brady’s Photographs.; Pictures of the Dead at Antietam. *The New York Times Archives*. URL: <https://www.nytimes.com/1862/10/20/archives/bradys-photographs-pictures-of-the-dead-at-antietam.html?auth=link-dismiss-google1tap&searchResultPosition=3#> (date of access: 24.10.2024).