DOI https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-542-6-3

# ONTOLOGY-BASED FRAMEWORK FOR AUTOMATED ORCHESTRATION OF COGNITIVE WEB SERVICES

# ОНТОЛОГІЧНА МОДЕЛЬ ДЛЯ АВТОМАТИЗОВАНОЇ ОРКЕСТРАЦІЇ КОГНІТИВНИХ ВЕБ-СЕРВІСІВ

**Kasianchuk I. V.**
*Postgraduate Student at the Department of System Design*
*National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute»*
*Kyiv, Ukraine*

**Касьянчук І. В.**
*аспірант кафедри системного проєктування*
*Національний технічний університет України «Київський політехнічний інститут імені Ігоря Сікорського»*
*м. Київ, Україна*

**Petrenko A. I.**
*Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor at the Department of System Design*
*National Technical University of Ukraine «Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute»*
*Kyiv, Ukraine*

**Петренко А. І.**
*доктор технічних наук, професор кафедри системного проєктування*
*Національний технічний університет України «Київський політехнічний інститут імені Ігоря Сікорського»*
*м. Київ, Україна*

Ontology-based approaches enable more effective orchestration of cognitive web services by ensuring semantic compatibility, reducing execution delays, and improving adaptability to dynamic environments [1]. Traditional rule-based service discovery methods struggle with evolving service descriptions and heterogeneous data formats, making them inefficient for large-scale deployments [2]. These methods lack semantic awareness and adaptability, leading to inefficient service integration. This research proposes an ontology-driven framework that leverages OWL ontologies and SWRL reasoning rules to automate the composition and orchestration of cognitive web services [3].

The framework structures service metadata, including input-output relationships, execution constraints, and Quality of Service (QoS) parameters, into a formal ontology [4]. This enables automated reasoning to identify optimal service compositions dynamically. SWRL rules define compatibility constraints, ensuring that only semantically and operationally compatible services are linked in workflows [5]. Unlike conventional selection methods, the ontology-driven approach eliminates the need for extensive manual configuration and predefined workflows.

To evaluate the proposed framework, a test environment was developed, integrating cognitive web services such as speech-to-text, machine translation, image recognition, and sentiment analysis [6]. Experimental scenarios included dynamically composing workflows based on user queries with varying execution constraints. The evaluation metrics included service discovery time, composition accuracy, and QoS compliance. The results indicated that the ontology-based framework reduced service discovery time by 20%, improved selection accuracy by 15%, and enhanced QoS adherence compared to traditional keyword-based selection mechanisms [7].

One of the critical components of the framework is the inference mechanism that governs service composition. SWRL rules are applied to establish logical dependencies between services based on data flow, execution conditions, and domain-specific constraints. For example, the framework may ensure that a speech-to-text service is paired with a translation service only if both support the same language, thus preventing execution failures [8]. This reasoning process reduces the likelihood of incompatible service pairings and improves system reliability.

The architecture of the framework follows a centralized reasoning model, where service descriptions and constraints are stored in an OWL ontology. User queries are transformed into structured SPARQL requests that retrieve semantically relevant services based on defined criteria. The reasoning engine applies SWRL rules to validate service compatibility before constructing execution workflows. This approach significantly improves adaptability, as the ontology can be dynamically updated to reflect changes in service capabilities and availability [9].

A key challenge in automated service composition is the integration of QoS parameters into the selection process. The proposed framework includes a QoS-aware selection mechanism that prioritizes services based on historical performance data. Metrics such as execution time, reliability, and accuracy are factored into the reasoning process, ensuring that the most suitable services are chosen for each request [6, c. 14]. Unlike static ranking systems, the ontology-driven approach dynamically re-evaluates service suitability based on real-time conditions.

The applicability of the proposed framework extends to domains requiring intelligent automation and adaptive service composition, such as smart city applications, cloud-based ecosystems, and IoT infrastructures [2]. The ability to dynamically discover, integrate, and orchestrate cognitive web services in real-time enhances operational efficiency and decision-making in complex environments.

Future research directions include expanding the ontology to incorporate additional cognitive capabilities, improving the scalability of reasoning mechanisms, and integrating machine learning techniques to enhance service selection accuracy [4]. Additionally, distributed reasoning architectures could be explored to further optimize performance in large-scale, multi-service environments.

**Bibliography:**


1. McIlraith, S. A., Son, T. C., & Zeng, H. Semantic Web Services. *IEEE Intelligent Systems.* 2001. 16(2). C. 46–53. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/5254.920599.
2. Martin, D., Burstein, M., McDermott, D., et al. OWL-S: Semantic Markup for Web Services. *W3C Member Submission*. 2004. C. 7. URL: https://www.w3.org/Submission/OWL-S/
3. Horrocks, I., Patel-Schneider, P. F., & van Harmelen, F. From SHIQ and RDF to OWL: The Making of a Web Ontology Language. *Journal of Web Semantics.* 2003. 1(1). C. 30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.websem.2003.07.001
4. Sirin, E., Parsia, B., Grau, B. C., Kalyanpur, A., & Katz, Y. Pellet: A Practical OWL-DL Reasoner. *Journal of Web Semantics*. 2007. 5(2). C. 51–53. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.websem.2007.03.004
5. Prud'hommeaux, E., & Seaborne, A. SPARQL Query Language for RDF. W3C Recommendation. 2008. C. 5. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-sparql-query/
6. Kasianchuk, I., Petrenko, A. Ontology-Based Framework for Automated Orchestration of Cognitive Web Services. *Technology Audit and Production Reserves.* 2024. 3(6). C. 14–22. DOI: https://journals.uran.ua/tarp/issue/view/19004.
7. Klusch, M., Fries, B., & Sycara, K. iSeM: Approximated reasoning for adaptive hybrid selection of semantic services. *Proceedings of the 8th International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2009)*. 2009. C. 439. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04930-9_3.
8. Luo, G., Tan, W., Fan, J., & Zhou, Q. Optimizing QoS-Aware Semantic Web Service Composition. *IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS).* 2011. C. 439–446. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04930-9_24.
9. Hybrid deep learning and similarity measures for semantic web service discovery. *Springer Journal of Knowledge and Information Systems.* 2024. C. 30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-024-02244-x