

SECTION 7. COMPARATIVE AND TYPOLOGICAL LINGUISTICS

DOI <https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-624-9-26>

BEZPEKA AS A CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR IN THE ETYMOLOGICAL CONTEXT

БЕЗПЕКА ЯК КОНЦЕПТУАЛЬНА МЕТАФОРА У ЕТИМОЛОГІЧНОМУ КОНТЕКСТІ

Bobyry Yu. V.

*Candidate of Philosophical Sciences,
Associate Professor,
Deputy Head of Foreign
Languages Department,
Yevgeniy Bereznyak Military Academy
Kyiv, Ukraine*

Бобир Ю. В.,

*кандидат філософських наук,
доцент,
заступник начальника кафедри
іноземних мов
Воєнна академія імені
Свєєнія Березняка
м. Київ, Україна*

Security (safety) constitutes a fundamental phenomenon of human existence, the essence of which may be elucidated linguistically through the etymological study of the corresponding concept within comprehensive conceptual analysis. In modern Ukrainian, the word *bezpeka* serves as the key lexeme representing this concept. It is of Proto-Slavic origin, as derivatives with the stem **bezpeč-* / **bezpek-* occur across numerous Slavic languages, primarily within the East and West Slavic branches.

Evidently, lexemes with the stem **bezpeč-* / **bezpek-* arose from a prepositional-nominal construction, since all derivatives with *bez-* conform to the same transformational model: *bez* + *nominal object/Genitive* [4, p. 61]. This prefix is etymologically related to the preposition *bez* ‘in the absence of; not having’ [8], which is used to indicate the absence of someone or something [5, p. 393]. The preposition *bez* derives from the Proto-Indo-European root **b(h)e* / *b(h)eǵh* ‘outside, without, except’ [12; 16].

It may be assumed that the prepositional-nominal construction, for a long time, was in common Slavic use as a descriptive phrase denoting the absence of danger. From the standpoint of word formation, its earliest lexical derivative could have been either a noun or an adjective, since the most productive derivational patterns with *bez-* generate both nominal and adjectival forms [4]. A survey of modern Slavic dictionaries shows that

an adjective formed by the same prefixal-suffixal pattern first appeared in the northern area of the Slavic linguistic continuum and remains current in the following languages: Czech – *bezpečný*, Slovak – *bezpečný*, Polish – *bezpieczny*, Ukrainian – *безпечний*, Belarusian – *бяспечны*, Russian – *беспечный*, Bulgarian – *безпѐчен*. In most of these languages, the corresponding nouns were subsequently derived from the adjectives: Czech *bezpečí*, Slovak *bezpečnosť*, and Polish *bezpieczeństwo*. Apparently, the noun arose directly from the prepositional-nominal construction in Ukrainian, Belarusian, and Slovak, remaining in active use only in Ukrainian (*безпека*) and Belarusian (*бяспека*). In Slovak, the variants *bezpeka*, *bezpek*, and *bezpech* are regarded as archaic [17].

In the mentioned languages, words derived from the prepositional-nominal construction generally have the stem *bezpeč-*. This feature became the basis for interpreting such lexemes as originating from the combination of the preposition *bez* and the noun *peča* ‘care, concern’ [1, p. 163]. However, several non-linguistic Ukrainian studies offer an alternative explanation linking the root morpheme to the theonym *Pek* (*Пек*) – the Slavic god of the underworld, war, bloodshed, and calamity [2; 6]. Both nouns are etymologically related to the verb **pekti* ‘to cook, to bake, to ache’, derived from the Proto-Indo-European root *pek^w-* (**k^wek^whō*) [12, p. 2304] / *pequō*, *īō* [16, p. 920]. In the first interpretation, the original meaning of the prepositional-nominal phrase would have been ‘without care, without concern’, while in the second it would signify ‘without dangers’, personified by *Pek*.

The use of *Pek* as the name of a deity is attested only in Ukrainian sources. This casts doubt on the derivation of *bezpeka* from the theonym *Pek*. Nevertheless, it remains plausible that, during a certain historical period, the hypothetical lexeme **pek*, verbalizing the notion of an ‘evil mythical being’, served as a conceptual metaphor for forces threatening human existence. Consequently, the construction *negation* + **pek* would have denoted the opposite situations, when nothing endangered a person. This assumption is supported by the recurring presence, across Indo-European mythological systems, of deities or spirits whose names are phonetically similar to *Pek* and who embody danger, misfortune, or malevolent power.

For instance, in Lithuanian *piktasis* denotes ‘a wicked one, a devil’ [15]; in Latvian *pūķis* designates ‘a supernatural, usually multi-headed creature’ [14]. The *Etymological Dictionary of the Baltic Inherited Lexicon* records *pikulas*, originally the Baltic god of the underworld, whose name is rendered in Prussian chronicles as *Picullus*, *Pickollos*, *Pykullis*, *Pecullis*, and *Pecols* [10]. In Sanskrit, the noun पक् *pāka*, in addition to meanings related to ‘burning, cooking’, refers to ‘the name of a demon’; it figures

in one of Indra's names – पाकशासन *pāka-çāsana* 'chastiser of Pāka' [7, p. 537]. Comparable figures occur in Germanic mythology: Icelandic *púki* 'a little devil with horns and a tail' [11], English *Puck* 'a mischievous spirit in English folklore' (Middle English *pouke*, Old English *pūca*) [18], German *Puk* 'a house spirit; name of a devil' [19], Danish *pokker* 'a milder term for the devil, the evil one, Satan', also used as a less strong swear word to express anger, annoyance, contempt or other emotion [9]. A cognate form is found in Celtic languages, e.g., Irish *púca* 'a hobgoblin' [13]. In Greek mythology, the god *Pan* (Παν) may represent a related figure: though phonetically more distant and less overtly malevolent, his mischievous nature, power to incite panic, and grotesque appearance suggest an earlier association with danger. Similarly, the mythic heroine *Pandora* (Πανδώρα), whose name is conventionally interpreted as 'all-gifted', could also be read as a 'bringer of calamity'. In Italic mythology, *Picus*, a woodland deity and augur, father of Faunus (the Roman analog of Pan), may also belong to this semantic cluster [3].

Given the recurrence of such figures in Indo-European mythologies and folklore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the prepositional-nominal construction underlying Slavic **bezpeč-* / **bezpek-* lexemes, which verbalize the concept of *security* (*safety*), originally functioned as a conceptual metaphor wherein the nominal element denoted a deity personifying danger. From a morphological standpoint, this interpretation is supported by the words *безпека*, *бяспека*, *bezpeka*, *bezpek* in Ukrainian, Belarusian, and Slovak. Moreover, the conceptual pattern in which the lexeme denoting *security* consists morphologically of a root referring to threats or misfortunes and a negative prefix is characteristic of many European languages: Greek *ασφάλεια*, Latin *securitas*, Serbian *безбедност*, and Bulgarian *безопасност*.

Bibliography:

1. Етимологічний словник української мови : у 7 т. / за заг. ред. О. С. Мельничук. Київ : Наук. думка, 1982. Т. 1. 632 с.
2. Заплатинський, В. З. Старослов'янські витоки та символи понять "небезпека" і "безпека". *Безпека життєдіяльності*. 2018. № 1. С. 21–24.
3. Козовик І. Я., Пономарів О. Д. Словник античної мітології. Тернопіль : Навчальна книга – Богдан, 2006. 312 с.
4. Паславська, А. Й. Заперечення як мовна універсалія: принципи, параметри, функціонування: монографія. Львів : Видавничий центр ЛНУ ім. Івана Франка, 2005. 290 с.

5. Словник української мови : в 20 т. / за заг. наук. ред. В. М. Русанівського. Київ : Наук. думка, 2010. Т. 1. 912 с.
6. Тихий В. П. Безпека людини: поняття, правове забезпечення, значення, види. *Вісник Національної академії правових наук України*. 2016. № 2 (85). С. 31–46.
7. Benfey T. A Sanskrit-English dictionary; with references to the best editions of Sanskrit authors and etymologies and comparisons of cognate words, chiefly in Greek, Latin, Gothic, and Anglo-Saxon. London : Longmans, Green, and Co., 1866. 1145 p., 22 p.
8. Cambridge English-Ukrainian Dictionary. URL: <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/uk/dictionary/english-ukrainian> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
9. Dansk Sprog i Ordbøger og Korpus. URL: <https://ordnet.dk> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
10. Derksen, R. Etymological Dictionary of the Baltic Inherited Lexicon. Leiden ; Boston : Brill, 2015. 684 p. (Leiden Indo-European Etymological Dictionary Series ; vol. 13).
11. Dictionary of Modern Icelandic. URL: <https://clarin.is/en/resources/dmi>
12. Etymological Dictionary Of Proto Indo European Language. A Revised Edition of Julius Pokorny. Indo-European Language Revival Association, 2007. 3442 p. URL: <https://archive.org/details/etymologicaldictionaryofprotoindoeuropeanlanguagebydnghuadsoqiationzlib.org> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
13. Foclóir Gaeilge-Béarla. URL: https://www.teanglann.ie/en/fgb/?utm_source=chatgpt.com (Accessed October 17, 2025).
14. Latviešu literārās valodas vārdnīca (LLVV). URL: <https://llvv.tezaurs.lv/> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
15. Lietuvių kalbos žodynas. URL: <http://www.lkz.lt> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
16. Mann S. E. An Indo-European Comparative Dictionary. Hamburg : Helmut Buske Verlag, 1984/87. 1682 p.
17. Slovníkový portál Jazykovedného ústavu Ľudovíta Štúra (SAV). URL: <https://slovník.juls.savba.sk/> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
18. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language. URL: <https://www.ahdictionary.com/> (Accessed October 17, 2025).
19. Woerterbuchnetz. URL: <https://woerterbuchnetz.de> (Accessed October 17, 2025).