WHY AMERICAN ENGLISH SOUNDS SO "AMERICAN"

Vladyslav Shyliaiev[1]
Liudmyla Kostina[2]

DOI: https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-720-8-16

In 2026, the United States of America mark a monumental historical milestone – the 250th anniversary of the adoption of the Declaration of Independence. This historic jubilee directs academic attention not merely toward the political, economic, and institutional architecture of the state, but toward the formation of its cultural sovereignty, a paramount cornerstone of which is its national language. In this context, the historical evolution, phonetic divergence, and internal regional heterogeneity of American English acquire profound sociolinguistic relevance, uniting foundational principles of dialectology with the ongoing global dialogue between British and American cultural traditions.

To begin with, a resilient and widespread stereotype continues to dominate popular consciousness: standard British English is frequently perceived as an unbroken, authentic historical benchmark, whereas the American variant is treated as an offspring that underwent secondary simplification, provincial distortion, or outright degradation. The ideological roots of this prejudice arose during the colonial epoch. In 1756, exactly two decades prior to the Declaration of Independence, Samuel Johnson dismissively characterized the emerging colonial speech variety as a "corruption to which every language widely diffused must always be exposed" [2]. What early settlers embraced as organic adaptation to unprecedented environmental conditions was pejoratively dismissed by the British metropole as an erosion of linguistic propriety.

Moreover, linguistic historiography reveals a striking paradox: modern American English has preserved critical phonetic, grammatical, and lexical features of early modern English, remaining in many fundamental respects closer to its ancestral roots than the contemporary speech of southern England. The most conspicuous acoustic divergence between the two sides of the Atlantic lies in rhoticity – the clear retention and articulation of the consonant /r/ following vowel sounds [3]. Conserving postvocalic /r/ in words like *car*, *yard*, *card*, and *water* is an act of historical continuity, mirroring the standard pronunciation of 16th- and early 17th-century England that was spoken by William Shakespeare [4; 1]. The pioneer English colonists originated from

[1] Kryvyi Rih National University, Ukraine
[2] Kryvyi Rih National University, Ukraine

British localities where non-rhotic patterns had not yet taken root, effectively planting this conservative standard across North America prior to the widespread phonetic realignments within Britain [4; 3].

Furthermore, the abandonment of postvocalic /r/ in England was an uneven, prolonged, and socially driven process that gained substantial traction only after early colonial settlements were well established [4]. Notably, the social evaluation of this shift within the mother country underwent an ironic reversal: during the 17th and 18th centuries, prominent grammarians and orthoepists condemned the omission of /r/ as vulgar, affected, and indicative of lower-class sloppiness [4]. By the 19th century, however, non-rhoticity had become firmly institutionalized within London's socio-economic elite, evolving into Received Pronunciation – the definitive marker of social prestige and higher education [4]. North America, by contrast, preserved the earlier phonetic standard across most of its vast territory [4]. Notable exceptions in historic commercial port cities like Boston and New York City merely demonstrate the influence of social hierarchy: affluent merchant families consciously adopted non-rhotic norms decades later to emulate prestigious metropolitan society [4].

At lexical and grammatical levels, differences between the transatlantic varieties consistently illustrate the survival of British archaisms within the New World. A prominent example is the term *fall* denoting *autumn*: widespread across Britain from the 1500s and present in John Dryden's works, it gradually yielded in England to the French loanword *autumn*, while finding enduring life in the North American colonies [2]. Moreover, in morphology, American English upheld the historical strong past participle *gotten*, whereas contemporary standard British compressed the paradigm into *got* [2].

Another point to consider is the forging of an independent language that was steered by both organic environmental adaptation and deliberate statecraft. In 1789, a famous American lexicographer, Noah Webster, asserted that a unified national language served as an indispensable pillar of political cohesion, insisting that a free republic required its own sovereign conventions of speech [2]. In 1813, Thomas Jefferson similarly projected that westward exploration and the rise of distinct trades across unprecedented topography would demand "new words and phrases", gradually distinguishing American speech "both in name and power" [2]. Nevertheless, vigorous trans-Atlantic print culture and continuous commercial exchange curbed centripetal fractures, preventing the two dialects from splintering into mutually incomprehensible languages [2].

The continental expansion of the United States yielded pronounced internal regional dialects, shaped by distinct immigration waves, geographic barriers, and subsequent internal migrations [1; 2; 3]. In the Appalachian Mountains, geographic isolation nurtured a unique variety influenced by the Scots-Irish settlers who arrived during the 18th and 19th centuries [3]. Appalachian English

preserved distinct morphological patterns such as adding the -iz suffix to form plural nouns like *deskes* and *ghostes*, utilizing complex prepositional phrases, and maintaining archaic lexical items like britches and poke. Although 19th-century romanticists constructed a myth framing the Appalachian speech as unadulterated "Shakespearean English" [1], modern linguistics recognizes it as an intricate blend of colonial relics and continuous regional innovation [1; 3].

Similarly, the Pacific West and the American South demonstrate how multilingual crossroads generated distinct speech forms [1; 3]. California's linguistic landscape was originally established through indigenous heritage and early Spanish missionary settlements, with English gaining dominance only after the 1849 Gold Rush brought hundreds of thousands of miners and prospectors from China, Europe, and Latin America [3]. In the 1930s, this dynamic was further transformed by an influx of migrants fleeing the Dust Bowl from Oklahoma and Arkansas, embedding Southern dialectal cadences into California's Central Valley. Today, the region is internationally recognized for phonetic shifts such as the California Vowel Shift, marked by the forward movement of vowel articulation in the mouth [3]. In Louisiana, the convergence of French, Spanish, Native American, and Sicilian influences gave rise to unique urban varieties such as New Orleans' Yat dialect, which is historically characterized by non-rhotic patterns and singular phonological traits [3].

Furthermore, the linguistic profile of the United States was profoundly shaped by African American Vernacular English (AAVE), which originated from language contact during the transatlantic slave trade [3]. Through generations of internal migration from the rural South to metropolitan industrial centers during Reconstruction and the Great Migration, African American speech enriched the broader American lexicon with foundational terms like *banana*, *yam*, and *gumbo*, while establishing distinctive grammatical structures and intonational cadences [3]. Contemporary sociolinguistic research indicates that lexical innovation continues to be heavily generated within African American communities, functioning as an enduring tool for social agency and cultural self-definition [2]. Syntactic continuity also bridges regional traditions: constructions with double modals (*might can*), derived from Scots-Irish settlers, remain functional across diverse southern demographics [2].

These regional patterns illustrate the psychological concept of language ideology – the unconscious connections that speakers construct between specific linguistic features and perceived social status [3]. Nevertheless, modern linguistic research confirms that regional dialects possess complete grammatical consistency and communicative versatility [3].

As the United States commemorates 250 years of independence (1776-2026), it is evident that linguistic autonomy represented a crucial

dimension of American nationhood. The American standard neither degenerated into the vulgar anomaly prophesied by Samuel Johnson, nor remained a frozen museum relic. By balancing the preservation of early modern phonetic and lexical norms with continuous cultural assimilation, regional diversity, and innovative creativity, American English established itself as a leading global standard, embodying an organic synthesis of historical fidelity and dynamic renewal.

References:

1. BBC. How Americans preserved British English. Available at: https://www.bbc.com/culture/article/20180207-how-americans-preserved-british-english (accessed September 27, 2026).

2. BBC. Separate in name and power: How America reinvented English. Available at: https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20260630-how-america-reinvented-english (accessed September 27, 2026).

3. Smithsonian Magazine. A brief history of the United States' accents and dialects. Available at: https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/a-brief-history-of-the-united-states-accents-and-dialects-180983591/ (accessed October 01, 2026).

4. Why do Americans and Brits speak differently? Psychology Today. Available at: https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/language-in-the-wild/202603/why-do-americans-and-brits-speak-differently (accessed September 27, 2026).